

Efficient provision of multiple public goods with interdependent valuations

J.C. Carbajal-Ponce

Department of Economics
Washington University in St. Louis

Introduction

Consider a public good provision problem with:

- multiple public goods, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$;
- I agents with quasi-linear preferences;
- multi-dimensional types;
- interdependent valuations: $\mathcal{U}_i(x, \theta, t_i) = \mathcal{V}_i(x, \theta) - t_i$.

Last two features are present in many economic situations:

- oil field auction;
- road project that affects competing firms;
- investments in an educational institution.

Introduction

Mechanism Design approach:

- Center uses $\Gamma = (\psi, \tau)$ to select social outcome.
 - ψ is the allocation rule;
 - $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_I)$ is the tax scheme.
- $\Gamma = (\psi, \tau)$ is *ex post incentive compatible* if truth-telling constitutes an ex post equilibrium.

Introduction

Mechanism Design approach:

- Center uses $\Gamma = (\psi, \tau)$ to select social outcome.
 - ψ is the allocation rule;
 - $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_I)$ is the tax scheme.
- $\Gamma = (\psi, \tau)$ is *ex post incentive compatible* if truth-telling constitutes an ex post equilibrium.

JMMZ (2006) impossibility result:

- In a 2-agent, 2-alternative setting, it is generally impossible to implement non-trivial allocation rules.
- Geometric condition derived from taxation principle.
 - Choices under ψ coincide with individual choices.

Contribution of this paper

- Solution to the public good provision problem with interdependent valuations and multi-dimensional types.
- Two environments where efficient, ex post incentive compatible mechanisms exist.
- Environments are non-generic but important.

Contribution of this paper

- Solution to the public good provision problem with interdependent valuations and multi-dimensional types.
- Two environments where efficient, ex post incentive compatible mechanisms exist.
- Environments are non-generic but important.

Outline:

- General linear setup for public good provision problem.
- Characterize ex post implementable allocation rules.
- Efficient solution for one-dimensional environments.
- Extension to separable and quasi-separable environments.

General Linear Setup

- Multiple public goods: $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+^M$; $x = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$.
- Cost of provision is $C(x) = \sum_m c_m(x_m)$.
- I agents with quasi-linear preferences and multidimensional types: $\theta_i = (\theta_i^1, \dots, \theta_i^K) \in \Theta_i = \times_{k=1}^K [0, \bar{\theta}_i^k]$.
- Preferences are linear in individual types:

$$U_i(x, \theta, t_i) = \sum_1^K \theta_i^k v_i^k(x, \theta_{-i}) - t_i =: \mathcal{V}_i(x, \theta) - t_i.$$

General Linear Setup

- Multiple public goods: $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+^M$; $x = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$.
- Cost of provision is $C(x) = \sum_m c_m(x_m)$.
- I agents with quasi-linear preferences and multidimensional types: $\theta_i = (\theta_i^1, \dots, \theta_i^K) \in \Theta_i = \times_{k=1}^K [0, \bar{\theta}_i^k]$.
- Preferences are linear in individual types:

$$U_i(x, \theta, t_i) = \sum_1^K \theta_i^k v_i^k(x, \theta_{-i}) - t_i =: \mathcal{V}_i(x, \theta) - t_i.$$

Standing hypothesis:

- $\forall \theta$, there is a unique $\psi^*[\theta] \in \mathcal{X}$ that maximizes social welfare; $\psi^* : \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuously differentiable on Θ .

Application: educational institution

- $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is composed of $M = 3$ different projects.
 - x_1 size of the science facility;
 - x_2 size of the humanities facility;
 - x_3 size of the central library.
- Agents have $K = 2$ dimensions of private information.
 - θ_i^1 appreciation for science and mathematics;
 - θ_i^2 appreciation for arts and humanities.
- Center selects the efficient allocation and the division of the tax burden.
 - Efficient allocation rule ψ^* exists.
 - Center designs incentive tax scheme to induce honest reporting as ex post equilibrium.

Characterization: ex post allocation rules

Given ψ and $\theta_{-i} \in \Theta_{-i}$, use i 's indirect utility function U_i .

- U_i is the max-function of i 's ex post incentive problem [the ex post self-selection constraints].
- U_i is a convex function.
- In the linear setup, ex post implementation of allocation rule ψ is characterized by:
 - (i) integral representation of U_i ;
 - (ii) a monotonicity condition.
- From this characterization, it follows the ex post payoff equivalence principle for linear environments.

Characterization: ex post allocation rules

- ψ is ex post implementable by an incentive tax scheme $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_I)$ if and only if $\forall i, \forall \theta_{-i} \in \Theta_{-i}$:

(i) For any two θ_i and $\hat{\theta}_i$,

$$U_i(\theta_i) = U_i(\hat{\theta}_i) + \int_0^1 \mathbf{v}_i(\psi[\theta_i(\lambda), \theta_{-i}], \theta_{-i}) \cdot \{\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i\} d\lambda;$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta_i(\lambda) := \lambda\theta_i + (1 - \lambda)\hat{\theta}_i$.

(ii) The reduced form allocation $\mathbf{v}_i(\psi[\cdot, \theta_{-i}], \theta_{-i})$ is increasing; i.e., for any two θ_i and $\hat{\theta}_i$,

$$\{\mathbf{v}_i(\psi[\theta_i, \theta_{-i}], \theta_{-i}) - \mathbf{v}_i(\psi[\hat{\theta}_i, \theta_{-i}], \theta_{-i})\} \cdot \{\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i\} \geq 0.$$

Necessary condition

From the characterization result, derive a necessary condition for ex post implementation.

- Related to solvability of a system of differential equations from the multi-dimensional IC constraints.
- Indirect utility U_i is twice differentiable a.e. on Θ_i .
- ψ is ex post implementable only if $\forall \theta_{-i}$, for any two dimensions of private information $k \neq l$, a.e. on Θ_i :

$$\frac{\partial^2 U_i(\theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i^l \partial \theta_i^k} = \frac{\partial^2 U_i(\theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i^k \partial \theta_i^l}. \quad (1)$$

- Necessary condition is not generally satisfied.

Example

- $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+^3$; $C(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^3 (x_m)^2$.
- $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$; $\Theta_i = [0, \bar{\theta}_i^1] \times [0, \bar{\theta}_i^2]$.
- Valuations for the public good are:

$$\mathcal{V}_1(x, \theta) = \theta_1^1 \{ \alpha_1^1 x_1 + \beta_1^1 x_2 + \gamma_1^1 x_3 \} + \theta_1^2 \{ \alpha_1^2 x_1 + \beta_1^2 x_2 + \gamma_1^2 x_3 \};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_i(x, \theta) = & \theta_i^1 \{ \theta_1^1 (\alpha_i^1 x_1 + \beta_i^1 x_2 + \gamma_i^1 x_3) \} \\ & + \theta_i^2 \{ \theta_1^2 (\alpha_i^2 x_1 + \beta_i^2 x_2 + \gamma_i^2 x_3) \}, \quad i = 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

- Given θ , Center chooses the allocation that maximizes social welfare $\sum_i \mathcal{V}_i(x, \theta) - C(x)$.

Example

- The efficient allocation rule $\psi^* = (\psi_1^*, \psi_2^*, \psi_3^*)$ is

$$\psi_1^*[\theta] = \theta_1^1 (\alpha_1^1 + \alpha_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \alpha_3^1 \theta_3^1) + \theta_1^2 (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 \theta_3^2),$$

$$\psi_2^*[\theta] = \theta_1^1 (\beta_1^1 + \beta_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \beta_3^1 \theta_3^1) + \theta_1^2 (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 \theta_3^2),$$

$$\psi_3^*[\theta] = \theta_1^1 (\gamma_1^1 + \gamma_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \gamma_3^1 \theta_3^1) + \theta_1^2 (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \gamma_3^2 \theta_3^2).$$

- Use expression (1) to verify if ψ^* can be implemented ex post. For any θ_2 and θ_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1^1 (\alpha_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 \theta_3^2) + \beta_1^1 (\beta_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 \theta_3^2) + \gamma_1^1 (\gamma_2^2 \theta_2^2 + \gamma_3^2 \theta_3^2) \\ &= \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \alpha_3^1 \theta_3^1) + \beta_1^2 (\beta_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \beta_3^1 \theta_3^1) + \gamma_1^2 (\gamma_2^1 \theta_2^1 + \gamma_3^1 \theta_3^1). \end{aligned}$$

One-dimensional environments

- $\Theta_i = [0, \bar{\theta}_i]$; $\mathcal{U}_i(x, \theta, t_i) = \theta_i v_i(x, \theta_{-i}) - t_i$.
- Allocation set is one-dimensional: $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$.
- Necessary condition for ex post implementation is trivially satisfied.
- Use characterization result to construct tax scheme that implements ψ^* .
- $\Gamma = (\psi^*, \tau^*)$ such that

$$\tau_i^*[\theta] = \theta_i v_i(\psi^*[\theta], \theta_{-i}) - \int_0^{\theta_i} v_i(\psi^*[\tilde{\theta}_i, \theta_{-i}], \theta_{-i}) d\tilde{\theta}_i.$$

Separable environments

- Dimension of public good space equals the dimension of information space, $M = K$.
 - $\Theta_i = \times_{k=1}^K [0, \bar{\theta}_i^k]$; $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+^K$.

- Valuations are separable:

$$U_i(x, \theta, t_i) = \sum_k \theta_i^k \hat{v}_i^k(x_k, \theta_{-i}^k) - t_i.$$

- Each dimension of information is specific to one, and only one, project.
 - Multi-dimensional screening: Rochet&Chone (1998).
 - Bundling with private goods: Manelli&Vincent (2006).
 - Bundling with public goods: Fang&Norman (2007).

Separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is separable: project k depends on k -th dimension of information:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K]).$$

- Necessary condition for ex post implementation of ψ^* is satisfied.

Separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is separable: project k depends on k -th dimension of information:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K]).$$

- Left-hand side of equation (1) is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 U_i(\theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i^l \partial \theta_i^k} = \frac{\partial \widehat{v}_i^k}{\partial x_k}(\psi_k^*[\theta^k], \theta_{-i}^k) \frac{\partial \psi_k^*}{\partial \theta_i^l}[\theta^k] = 0.$$

Separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is separable: project k depends on k -th dimension of information:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K]).$$

- Necessary condition for ex post implementation of ψ^* is satisfied.
- Multi-dimensional public good provision problem is transformed into K one-dimensional problems.
- ψ^* is implemented by a separable tax scheme.
 $\Gamma = (\psi^*, \tau^*)$ such that

$$\tau_i^*[\theta] = \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_i^{k*}[\theta^k].$$

Quasi-separable environments

- Aggregator function: $\zeta_i(\theta_i) = \theta_i^1 + \dots + \theta_i^K$.
- Dimension of \mathcal{X} is $M = K + 1$; $x = (x_1, \dots, x_K, x_{K+1})$.
- Valuations satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_i(x, \theta, t_i) = & \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_i^k \tilde{v}_i^k(x_k, \theta_{-i}^k) \\ & + \zeta_i(\theta_i) \tilde{w}_i(x_{K+1}, \zeta_{-i}(\theta_{-i})) - t_i. \end{aligned}$$

- k -th dimension of information affects project k .
- k -th dimension of information affects project $K + 1$.
This interaction is uniformed across dimensions.

Quasi-separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is quasi-separable:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K], \psi_{K+1}^*[\zeta(\theta)]).$$

- Necessary condition for ex post implementation of ψ^* is satisfied.

Quasi-separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is quasi-separable:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K], \psi_{K+1}^*[\zeta(\theta)]).$$

- Equation (1) is satisfied; for $k \neq l$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 U_i(\theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i^l \partial \theta_i^k} = \left(0, \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^k}{\partial x_k}, 0, \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_i}{\partial x_{K+1}} \right) \cdot \left(0, \dots, 0, \frac{\partial \psi_l^*}{\partial \theta_i^l}, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi_{K+1}^*}{\partial \zeta_i} \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial \theta_i^l} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 U_i(\theta_i)}{\partial \theta_i^k \partial \theta_i^l} = \left(0, \dots, 0, \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^l}{\partial x_l}, \dots, \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_i}{\partial x_{K+1}} \right) \cdot \left(0, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi_k^*}{\partial \theta_i^k}, 0, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi_{K+1}^*}{\partial \zeta_i} \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial \theta_i^k} \right).$$

Quasi-separable environments

- Efficient allocation rule is quasi-separable:

$$\psi^*[\theta] = (\psi_1^*[\theta^1], \dots, \psi_K^*[\theta^K], \psi_{K+1}^*[\zeta(\theta)]).$$

- Necessary condition for ex post implementation of ψ^* is satisfied.
- ψ^* ex post implemented by quasi-separable incentive tax scheme. $\Gamma = (\psi^*, \tau^*)$ such that

$$\tau_i^*[\theta] = \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_i^{k*}[\theta^k] + \tau_i^{K+1*}[\zeta(\theta)].$$

Quasi-separable environments

The efficient provision of multiple public goods is consistent with geometric condition of JMMZ (2006).

- From taxation principle, indifference sets of all agents coincide with indifference set generated by ψ^* .
- $\mathcal{I}(\theta_{-i}) \subseteq \Theta_i$ is indifference set with fixed θ_{-i} .
- Start at $\theta_i \in \mathcal{I}(\theta_{-i})$:
 - $\Delta\theta_i^k$ affects x_k and x_{K+1} ;
 - $\nabla\theta_i^l$ affects x_l and x_{K+1} ;
 - changes in x_{K+1} cancel out.
- At any θ_{-i} , indifference set $\mathcal{I}(\theta_{-i})$ has dimension zero.

Concluding remarks

- With interdependent valuations, efficient ex post mechanisms for the provision of multiple public goods exist in separable and quasi-separable environments.
 - Impossibility result of JMMZ (2006) does not apply.
 - These environments are economically important.
- Other environments where positive results exist.
 - JMM (2007) use separability across valuations.
- Directions for further research:
 - Relax linearity assumption on valuations.
 - Existence of efficient ex post mechanisms that are budget balanced, individually rational, etc.